

# Re: [Defendernet-list] \$500,000 bond for an F-3???

## The judge

---

- *To:* <[defendernet-list@listserv.com](mailto:defendernet-list@listserv.com)>
  - *Subject:* Re: [Defendernet-list] \$500,000 bond for an F-3??? The judge
  - *From:* "Jose Baez" <[jose@baezlawfirm.com](mailto:jose@baezlawfirm.com)>
  - *Date:* Thu, 31 Jul 2008 12:37:17 -0400
  - *Delivered-to:* [defendernet-list@listserv.com](mailto:defendernet-list@listserv.com)
  - *In-reply-to:* <[ce0.33faceef.35c22c8b@aol.com](mailto:ce0.33faceef.35c22c8b@aol.com)>
  - *References:* <[ce0.33faceef.35c22c8b@aol.com](mailto:ce0.33faceef.35c22c8b@aol.com)>
  - *Reply-to:* [defendernet-list@listserv.com](mailto:defendernet-list@listserv.com)
  - *Thread-index:* AcjyhQbgwbG+OkbDQTGMWroGb/XAfQApk6uQ
- 

I resent any implications the press are making, this case has been swamped with misinformation being leaked. Michael Walsh and I are both members of FACDL and NACDL and would appreciate any input or assistance offered.

Thanks,

Jose Baez, Esq.

# Re: [Defendernet-list] \$500,000 bond for an F-3???

## The judge

---

- To: <[defendernet-list@listserv.com](mailto:defendernet-list@listserv.com)>
  - Subject: Re: [Defendernet-list] \$500,000 bond for an F-3??? The judge
  - From: "Richard Hornsby" <[richard@richardhornsby.com](mailto:richard@richardhornsby.com)>
  - Date: Thu, 31 Jul 2008 17:34:05 -0400
  - Delivered-to: [defendernet-list@listserv.com](mailto:defendernet-list@listserv.com)
  - In-reply-to: <[mailman.43.1217529226.15128.defendernet-list@listserv.com](mailto:mailman.43.1217529226.15128.defendernet-list@listserv.com)>
  - References: <[mailman.43.1217529226.15128.defendernet-list@listserv.com](mailto:mailman.43.1217529226.15128.defendernet-list@listserv.com)>
  - Reply-to: [defendernet-list@listserv.com](mailto:defendernet-list@listserv.com)
  - Thread-index: AcjzPbSkbtrYdbJYTROkpS5p773jWgAFprIA
- 

>> and would appreciate any input or assistance offered.

Well, you asked. Here is my \$.02

1. Cancel all your client's video jail visits (especially her parents). You may not be able to control the media, but you can control your client. With the likelihood of a Manslaughter charge forthcoming, there is no legitimate reason she needs to talk to anyone but her attorney for the time being.

2. Tell the grandmother to shut up and get an attorney (not associated with your firm) to be a mouthpiece. She is State Witness No. 1, and the first person the State is going to call to testify against your client. Moreover, whether you want to admit it or not, the your client and her mother have conflicting interests. Your continued association with both parties is a recipe for disaster. Which is why they need a separate attorney.

3. You need to stop telling the media what your client claims to have said about the possible whereabouts of the child. The same goes for the level of cooperation she would be willing to give (FBI versus Local Yokels). Your representations as to what she has said to you, can, in my opinion, be used as admissions by a party opponent. A slick prosecutor could nail you on those if you ever went to trial.

4. Adopt the following mantra when approached by anybody "It is my firm's policy not to comment on pending litigation." You have already lost in the court of public opinion. The more they see a talking head associate with her on TV, the stronger the public's opinion against your client will become.

---

- Previous by Date: [Re: \[Defendernet-list\] Plea negotiations + ?](#)
  - Next by Date: [Re: \[Defendernet-list\] Juvenile sentence in adult circuit court](#)
  - Previous by Thread: [Re: \[Defendernet-list\] \\$500,000 bond for an F-3??? The judge](#)
  - Next by Thread: [\[Defendernet-list\] Absent victim in agg assault with firearm case](#)
  - Defendernet-list July 2008 archives indexes sorted by: [\[ thread \]](#) [\[ subject \]](#) [\[ author \]](#) [\[ date \]](#)
  - Defendernet-list list archive [Table of Contents](#)
  - [More information about the Defendernet-list mailing list](#)
-